MINUTES
CITY OF ST. CHARLES, IL
GOVERNMENT SERVICES COMMITTEE MEETING
MONDAY, MARCH 28, 2011, 7:00 P.M.

Members Present:	**Chairman McGuirk, Aldr. Penny, Aldr. Carrignan, Aldr. Turner, Aldr. Martin, Aldr. Krieger, Aldr. Bessner, Aldr. Lewis**
Members Absent:	**B. Townsend, Aldr. Stellato, Aldr. Monken**
Also Present:	**Mayor DeWitte, M. Koenen, J. Bernahl, R. Gallas, J. Lamb, P. Suhr, T. Bruhl, J. Lamkin, J. Schelstreet**

1. **Meeting called to order at 7:00 p.m.**

2.*a. Electric Reliability Report, February 2011

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

2.*b. Tree Commission Minutes

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

3.a. Recommendation for Approval of 1st Street Closure on Six Nights in July and August for St. Charles Cruise Nights

Chief Lamkin presented. This is a request by the St. Charles Chamber to hold "Cruise Nights" downtown. Last year we had four dates, this year they've added two more dates. Last year this did not require much in regard to City services and it went off very well. A representative from the Chamber is here tonight if you have any questions.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

3.b. Recommendation for Street and Parking Lot Closures for the Fine Arts Fair

Chief Lamkin presented. This is a request for street and parking lot closures for the Fine Arts Festival, which is the same as it has been for the last few years.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Penny. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

3.c. Recommendation for Approval of a Mixed Martial Arts Event at the DuPage Expo Center of May 7, 2011

Chief Lamkin presented. This is a request for a mixed martial arts event to be held on May 7 at the DuPage Expo Center. As you are aware, we did have a previous event in March and we did have officers present. Things went very well, we had no problems at all. They have requested another date to hold a similar event. In addition to the approval of the event itself, the request was made to open the event to all ages, or to 18 years or older, as opposed to what was approved last time at 21 years or older. From our perspective, based on the controls in place and the way the wristbands were handled, the ability to manage people under 21 is there. I'd like to ask them to come up for a minute to share with you the limitations of people who want to attend this event but aren't allowed to because of the age restriction.

Mr. Rob Sybilski: My name is Rob Sybilski; I'm with the MMA Sport Federation. As Chief Lamkin stated, we've had two events and both were successful and very well run. The reason we are asking for an all ages event is we felt the only complaints we did get from the event were people who couldn't bring their kids or, for example, we spoke with the United States Navy about busing their servicemen to the event from the Great Lakes Navel base; the servicemen are 18-20 years old and they weren't able to attend the event. I'm asking for your permission to be able to open it up to either all ages or 18 and above.

Aldr. Carrignan: What is the minimum age of the participants in the various events?

Mr. Sybilski: State law says you have to be 18 years or older to participate in mixed martial arts.

Aldr. Turner: It's my understanding some of the competitor's wives were not allowed to come in because they were under 21?

Mr. Sybilski: Yes, we had to turn a few people away. Relatives of some of the fighters are used to going to these events because usually they are not limited to 21 and over. However, I understand we had to prove ourselves and show everyone we can run an organized event.

Aldr. Turner: I also understand the St. Charles Wrestling Coach wanted to bring his team but they couldn't come in, either?

Mr. Sybilski: That's correct. We had the St. Charles Wrestling Team, the United States Navy, the St. Charles Baseball Team all wanted to come. I think people should have the right if they want to see the event. We wanted to establish the fact that we can do a very organized show and bring a nice event to the Community.

Aldr. Lewis: You also don't have anything in there about cutting off alcohol an hour before the event like you did at the last one?

Aldr. Carrignan: It's in there. It says "alcohol service shall be ended prior to the second to last fight if after 10:30 pm".

Chief Lamkin: That took place the last time, so that went just fine.

Aldr. Bessner: The one question I have is in regards to other sporting events such as Kane County Cougar games - how do you decide an event can have alcohol and at the same time bring your kids? I'll approve this tonight as I have in the past, but I'm not sure if we are ready to approve it with anybody under 21 at this point. I think we need to continue to build the track record. I'm not comfortable with anyone under 21 having the possible opportunity to drink.

Aldr. Carrignan: I agree to a point, but if the participant age is 18, I can live with an 18 year old attending the event. The Chief has indicated this is the second time we have had no issues, the Chief is comfortable with the wristband situation and how it's managed.

Aldr. Lewis: I actually went to your event the last time; it was about 11:15 pm, but my husband and I walked over from Pheasant Run and your security let us in when you were finishing the last fight. I have to say, it amazed me how many women were at the event. It was very quiet, I asked if there had been a lot of blood and the man I spoke with said there was one bloody nose, but that was about it. I tried to talk to you, but you were being interviewed for television.

Mr. Sybilski: Yes, I run the show and it was televised for Comcast and everyone will have the opportunity to see it by the end of April.

Aldr. Lewis: I can go along with Aldr. Carrignan with 18 years of age, but not under 18.

Aldr. Turner: I can go along with 18. If our servicemen can go out and fight for us at 18, they should be able to go in and see this event.

Aldr. Carrignan: I'd like to make a motion for approval with a limitation of an age of 18 or over.

Aldr. Turner: Second.

Chairman McGuirk: Please call a roll call vote.

K. Dobbs:

Penny: No
Carrignan: Yes
Turner: Yes
Martin: No
Krieger: No
Bessner: No
Lewis: No

Chairman McGuirk: This doesn't pass with five to two.

Aldr. Carrignan: I'd like to make a motion to approve for an age of 21 and older.

Aldr. Turner: Second

Chairman McGuirk: Please call a roll call vote.

K. Dobbs:

Penny: Yes
Carrignan: Yes
Turner: Yes
Martin: No
Krieger: No
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes

Chairman McGuirk: Motion passes.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved by roll call vote. **Motion carried.**

3.d. Recommendation of Street Closings for the Annual Pride of the Fox Riverfest

Chief Lamkin presented. For several years now, we have cut off alcohol service at the Pride of the Fox Riverfest by 11:00 pm, with music stopping at 10:30 pm. We have a new request to keep Cedar Street between 2nd and 3rd open and not have parking there. In regard to the $18,000.00 financial participation that the City made last year; that is not something you need to address tonight. Chris Minick is going to bring that to City Council under a separate item for your review. Tonight I'm asking for approval of the street closings. Julie Farris and John Olsen are here if you have any questions.

Aldr. Martin: I would request that the Class E license be separated from the event. I approve of the event, but not the Class E License.

Aldr. Penny: I move for approval of the event without the Class E license.

Aldr. Bessner: Second.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by roll call vote.
Motion carried.

Aldr. Penny: I move for approval of issuing two Class E Licenses.

Aldr. Bessner: Second.

Chairman McGuirk: Please do a roll call vote.

K. Dobbs:

Penny: Yes
Carrignan: Yes
Turner: Yes
Martin: No
Krieger: No
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved by roll call vote.
Motion carried.

4.a. Recommendation to Approve Request from Tree Commission in Regard to Langum Park Woods Proposed Restoration Project on April 21

Peter Suhr presented. I'm here this evening with representatives from the Tree Commission and the Park District to request approval for a restoration project in Langum Park Woods. On April 21, 135 Sixth Graders from Haines Middle School are available to assist in this restoration project as part of their curriculum. The project generally consists of clearing dead wood, brush and undergrowth, removing small trees and starting to install wood chip paths throughout the woods. This is a first step approach to a long-term goal that the Tree Commission has established for restoring Langum Park Woods to a more natural area for the Community to enjoy. Students will be divided into smaller groups and supervised by Park District Staff, Tree Commissioners and other adult volunteers. The Park District has organized and supervised many projects similar to this

one with success. In your packet, please find a Certificate of Insurance including School District 303 naming the City of St. Charles as an additional insured. If you don't have any questions, City staff recommends approval of the request as noted in your packet.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

4.b. Update Regarding the EAB Control Efforts

Peter Suhr presented. It has been another active month for EAB. This is the season when we see Woodpecker activity and increased trimming and tagging, which all result in large numbers of confirmed trees. 382 trees were confirmed with EAB this past month, equally spread throughout the City. We are proposing to remove 87 Ash trees this upcoming month, most of which are in the northwest Red Gate Subdivision area. On a positive note, this is also the month we begin our spring planting. We anticipate over 225 with this initial program. Most of the trees recently removed in the far west on tonight's agenda will be replanted as early as this spring.

I also wanted to address a question that was asked last month about the EAB efforts from some of our surrounding neighbors. We contacted the Park District and Forest Preserve to get an idea of how they are treating this problem. As a comparison, the Park District is treating 50 of their trees and the Forest Preserve for the first time this year will be treating 250 of their trees. That compares to about 125 trees that the City is currently treating.

The Park District does not remove any EAB trees from their natural or wooded areas and only about 25 trees a year in their parks. The Forest Preserve has removed about 500 trees to date and that compares to what we do of 30 to 90 trees per month, and our total is 1400 trees removed to date.

The Forest Preserve has planted about 200 small whip trees to date and they plan on planting an additional (50) 2" inch trees this year which compares to about 200-300 trees planted by the City each spring and fall.

If you have any more specific questions, I'd be glad to answer them.

No further discussion.

4.c. Presentation and Approval of Water Hydrant Meter Policy – ITEM TABLED

Aldr. Carrignan: I move to table this item.

Aldr. Turner: Second.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

4.d. Recommendation to Approve Proposal for Design and Construction Engineering for Main Treatment / Biosolids Building Project

John Lamb presented. This is the main building at our Wastewater Plant. Back in October 2009 the Committee approved a rehabilitation study to be done on the facility and one of the main deficiencies was this building and all the equipment inside. The equipment and motor control center are critical to the operation of the facility. In addition to it being 38 years old, we can't obtain parts anymore and sections of the building were found to be structurally unsound and unable to support new equipment that we needs to be installed. The original building dates back to the 1940's and has been added on to a number of times. The project is in the current four-year budget, both for engineering and construction.

Staff sent requests for proposals to nine firms who all responded. We evaluated the proposals and elevated three of the firms who came in and were interviewed by a panel of six staff members. From that evaluation, we concluded that Trotter and Associates should be awarded the project.

Aldr. Carrignan: This is an Enterprise fund budget item, so it's coming out of what fund?

Mr. Lamb: The Sewer fund; $450,000 will come out of the current budget, and the remainder will come out of next years budget.

Aldr. Carrignan: The reserves in your fund are relatively short now, correct?

Mr. Lamb: Correct; although we are at the percentage that Finance Director Chris Minick believes we should have for the sewer fund.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Turner, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

4.e. Request to Waive Bids and Re-Award Management and Construction Costs for Main WWTP Headworks Project to Hampshire Hydro

John Lamb presented. In November 2010 and January 2011 the Committee approved a two proposals from Seagren Construction. One was for construction management services and the other one was for construction costs for a headwork's project that we are

doing down at the plant. During that time, Seagren Construction has reorganized to facilitate the retirement of their company president, and now has a new name – Hampshire Hydro. It was suggested by our attorney that these awards be re-awarded under the new company name.

Therefore, staff is requesting Waiving the Bid and recommending re-awarding construction management services in the amount of $98,301.30 to Hampshire Hydro and construction costs of $507,950.00 for subcontractor construction costs to Hampshire Hydro.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

4.f. Recommendation to Approve Initiation of Rain Barrel Program

John Lamb presented. I have been working with the Conservation Foundation of DuPage County in Naperville in regard to co-sponsoring a Rain Barrel Program. People buy them and hook them up to their downspouts to use the rain water to water their garden, wash their cars, things of that nature. We would like to co-sponsor this event with the conservation foundation to allow the residents of St. Charles to purchase rain barrels at a cost of $75. We will hold a workshop here in the Council Chambers on April 20, if we are given permission to proceed with the rain barrel program. We feel this is a good green initiative to take for water conservation.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Krieger, seconded by Aldr. Penny. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

5.a. Update on Discussions with ComEd Regarding Reliability and Maintenance Issues

Glynn Amburgey presented. As you will see from my memo, we cancelled the meeting with ComEd last month because we didn't have anything to talk about. The last sustained outage we had based on ComEd's system was in September 2010. The last momentary was in November 2010.

They have completed the promised reconductor of the facilities that run through the Q Center so that is all new cable. They have also completed the work they promised to do to increase the spacing on the line that serves into our Peck Road station.

Aldr. Carrignan: It's been about 2 ½ years since we started this monthly update with ComEd. It's come a long way. The constant pressure you are putting on ComEd has made a difference. I think from a reporting standpoint, from my perception this reporting can go to quarterly. You attacked the problem, it's been cleaned up, it seems to be

solved, so I would say let's go to a quarterly report unless there is some exception you care to bring up. Nice job.

Aldr. Turner: A few years back we talked about to 138k. ComEd seemed to be interested in it. Can you get back to us on their feelings on upgrading the transmission into the Fox River Valley area for the future if there is any?

Mr. Amburgey: That leads to my next topic. Back in summer 2009 we had discussions about the options we had to increase the reliability into the City, one of which was 138k as we had presented to you as part of the 10-year study we did at that time. 138k was deemed not feasible at that time based on the cost to us to make that happen. We have had no discussions with ComEd since that time, and I have heard nothing from them that would indicate that they had any more interest in trying that again.

Aldr. Turner: I keep hearing about them updating their major systems.

Mr. Amburgey: The most recent information I have from ComEd does not indicate that they are looking at any new 138 in our area.

Aldr. Carrignan: I make a motion that we go to quarterly updates on ComEd issues.

Aldr. Penny: Second.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Penny. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

5.b. Recommendation to Accept Low Evaluated Bids for Automation System Software and Equipment

Mr. Amburgey: In summer 2009, we discussed our reliability options for the future. At that time, as part of the 10 year study we presented, this Committee decided the best course of action would be to move forward with an automation system that would automate switching in our primary lines in case of an outage due to a feed into our substation from ComEd that the system would automatically transfer a load to an energized circuit, and therefore pick up those customers in a matter of minutes, rather than the 20-30 minutes it normally takes us to do switching. Over the last 18 months or so we have done the engineering design study which has taken longer than anticipated, but the results are that we believe we have a very sound system that will help us to do this automation.

The system consists of two different types of equipment, one being an overhead switch and one being a pad mounted switch that is very similar to the green switchgear boxes that we see around town. This particular request is to purchase that equipment, one of each – an overhead that would be installed on the west side off Indiana Avenue on an

existing overhead pole. It basically takes an existing switch and installs this automated switch instead of that existing switch. The other position is on the west side as well along Peck Road. For that particular installation there is an existing switchgear that we would change out to one of these automated pad-mounted switchgears. This will provide a pilot for us to get experience with these two different installations. Also part of this particular item is the software we will be using to interconnect this automated system with our SCADA system.

Aldr. Penny: I think it's great we've done the engineering, and I know this is a pilot program, but my concern is the Enterprise funds are not in the best shape right now. A pilot program leads to the next thing which is a much more expensive program and so on. My question is why not hold on the pilot program until we are in better shape financially so that we can move ahead with the longer range plans. The areas you are talking about aren't critical loads, which I understand is why you do it there instead of someplace else, but it just seems to me this is not a good business decision right now.

Mr. Amburgey: In terms of what is in the budget for next year, we anticipated that the pilot program would be completed this year, and next year we would begin to implement more of these installations. The budget as it is proposed right now has $1 million in it next year for this program, and in putting together the budget, we were very sensitive of where we need to be budget-wise and this is part of that budget as it is proposed.

Aldr. Penny: It just seems like a lot of money to plan on spending for automation. I know it's the best way to go down the road, but I don't know that we need to do that right now. That's my concern.

Aldr. Turner: It says here the purchase of equipment will allow the processing of $126,000 in grants from IMEA. If we don't approve this, do the grants go away?

Mr. Amburgey: IMEA has allocated these funds for this purpose and those funds are there. If this was not approved I would naturally go back to IMEA and see how long those funds will sit there before we use them.

Aldr. Carrignan: What the sum cost so far?

Mr. Amburgey: Approximately $148,000.00.

Aldr. Carrignan: What are we looking at for both timeline and total costs thereabout?

Mr. Amburgey: The timeline has not been firmly established because we were waiting for the budget to dictate the total build out process. We're looking at these right now in terms of the total cost per installation. Some of this is software costs which will be spread across all the installations and we have $1 million budgeted next year and we expect that $1 million to install four to five of these. We have 47 installations that we have selected, and we have always assumed this project would go on five to ten years.

Aldr. Penny: So we are talking $1 million every year?

Mr. Amburgey: Yes.

Aldr. Penny: I just think that we don't need to start yet.

Aldr. Turner: If we do this and it increases the reliability of our 34k system, could this negate our need for a 138k line and building another substation on the east side?

Mr. Amburgey: As you may recall from the discussion from the 10 year study, we basically looked at it as two options. 138k or not. And if not 138k, what can we do? The decision was made at that time that 138k was too expensive for us to consider, so this was the alternative to 138k. When you made that decision, the idea was that 138k would not be in our near future. This was the option that gave us improved reliability.

Aldr. Carrignan: This is a bonafide way to improve reliability of the system. It's a proven process?

Mr. Amburgey: Yes

Aldr. Carrignan: What is the reserve position of the Electric fund right now?

Mr. Amburgey: The reserve position right now is not good. We finished last year in a deficit and where we are today as of the end of last month, we are at break-even this year. We did a rate study and we have proposed rate recovery that we believe is reasonable in the next year that would put us back on sound footing.

Aldr. Carrignan: That rate study comes out later this year?

Mr. Amburgey: Yes.

Aldr. Carrignan: In your budget, you have built in costs for this coming year; is that at this current rate or have you built in the rate increases to cover those costs in that process?

Mr. Amburgey: The rate increases are built in.

Aldr. Carrignan: Mr. Chairman, I think it would be a wise idea, at least at this point, to table this for a short period of time. The reason I think we should table it is I would really like to understand rate study says and come back and look at that after we understand that. When we had that electric conversation that was at least 24 months ago.

Mr. Amburgey: I believe it was May 2009 if I recall correctly.

Aldr. Carrignan: Yes, and when we look at what's happened to the economy from the end of 2008 to the middle of 2010, I think the foundation has shifted and I think we need

to understand what the rate study tells and then come back to look at this. I think from a long term reliability issue, we are going to have to get there. So with that, I'd like to make a motion to table.

Aldr. Martin: Second.

No further discussion.

Motioned to **TABLE** by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Martin. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

6.a. Recommendation to Approve an Extension of the Ambulance Billing Contract with Andres Medical Billing, LTD. from April 18, 2011 to July 1, 2011

Joe Schelstreet presented. Andres is the company that processes all the ambulance bills for Tri-City ambulance. Their contract is currently up. We have taken on an RFP process; we are going through the evaluation and we have requested extra time to have two selected firms come in and give presentations to us so at the Tri-City Ambulance Meeting on March 11, they approved the extension of the contract with Andres until July 1. As lead agency, we are requesting the City does that same.

Aldr. Penny: The short time is because you need the extra time to get the presentation?

Mr. Schelstreet: Correct.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Bessner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

6.b. Recommendation to Approve the FY 11/12 Budget for the Tri-City Ambulance Association

Joe Schelstreet presented. This is the budget for FY 11/12. It was approved by the Tri-City Ambulance Board at the March 11 meeting. It does entertain five ambulances as it has been for the last several years, and it does account for $115,000 spend down on the reserve which offset costs.

Aldr. Penny: Has this gotten any better? Are we still carrying the greater burden?

Mr. Schelstreet: With the loss of the Fire District, the City will now be a contributor at the 41% rate, so we are looking at an increase cost of $128,000.00. The Fire District was paying $262,000.00, obviously that money had to be made up, so our share of that is $128,000.00 unfortunately.

Aldr. Penny: So it hasn't gotten any better.

Mr. Schelstreet: We have made progress with reducing costs, we've been aggressive with our fee schedules and both Andres and our collection agency have been performing well.

Aldr. Penny: I was referring more to the other communities doing their part.

Mr. Schelstreet: Discussions are still ongoing.

Aldr. Krieger: What is our cost based on?

Mr. Schelstreet: At this time, it's still Equalized Assessed Evaluation (EAV). Right now we contribute 41% after billing revenue is deducted. It's not a usage system, it's an ability to pay system with EAV.

Aldr. Turner: With the loss of the Fire District, some of the other Cities actually took up some of that cost?

Mr. Schelstreet: Absolutely. The City of Batavia is contributing another $85,000 as opposed to last year, the City of Geneva is contributing another $84,000, Geneva Township $2,6000, Batavia Fire Distrct, $75,000 and that is all based on their percentage of EAV.

No further discussion.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Turner. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

6.c. Recommendation to Approve an Ordinance Authorizing an Agreement Between Tri-City Ambulance and Paramedic Services of Illinois, Inc. for Paramedic Services

Joe Schelstreet presented. This is the last year of the agreement with PSI. PSI decided to forego their 3% increase. The amount for this fiscal year will be $2,357,880.00.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Krieger. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

7.a. Recommendation to Accept Proposal for Wills Burke Kelsey Associates for the Bob Leonard Riverwalk Extension (Indiana – Prairie Extension)

James Bernahl presented. This item is requesting authorization of a contract with Wills Burke Kelsey Associates (WBK). This is the second phase of the overall master plan for the Bob Leonard Riverwalk. This specific contract is for the riverbank stabilization. WBK has very good first hand knowledge regarding what we've done for the first phase as they were involved with that, also the permitting process. A lot of the front end contract documents are already there, so there is also some cost savings. For that reason,

staff requested a proposal from WBK to perform this work that will involve from Indiana to the Prairie Street bridge. Staff will design the brick paver path to save costs. WBK would be doing concept plans and the overall engineering contracts.

Aldr. Turner: Is the coming out of the general fund?

Mr. Bernahl: Yes.

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

7.b. Update on IL Rt. 64 Projects

James Bernahl presented. IL Rt. 64 and Oak intersection improvements have seen no changes. We are still on track in regard to land acquisition. Staff is still working with our consultants in addressing review comments. We are still looking at going out to bid in May 2011 with final completion October 2011.

7th Avenue to Kirk – IDOT has changed their letting from June to August. This doesn't effect the overall construction contract time since they weren't doing a lot of work for that section anyway. The Electrical Division has finished the test holes and we are working with IDOT to address any conflicts we found. IDOT has released an advance tree removal contract. In speaking with IDOT staff they aren't going to begin any tree removal until June. In order to facilitate a sharing of information, staff has sent letters to 32 locations that actually have trees coming down. My personal contact information is on the letter so people can call me directly. From what I've seen on the plans there aren't a lot of tree removals, but the most of it is on the east side by Pheasant Run.

We are still on track for the sanitary sewer replacement. Staff will be meeting with IDOT to address the last of the conflicts and we still look at going out to bid in May and completing our work by September.

38th to IL Rt. 59 – there are no changes in the contract. IDOT has moved their letting date from June to August as they did with the first phase, so it looks like they are still planning on going out to bid with both contracts at the same time. IDOT is still dealing with land acquisition and the FAA.

8. Additional Business

None.

9. Executive Session – LAND ACQUISITION

Aldr. Penny: I move we go into Executive Session to discuss land acquisition.

Chairman McGuirk: Please take a roll call vote.

K. Dobbs:

Penny: Yes
Carrignan: Yes
Turner: Yes
Martin: Yes
Krieger: Yes
Bessner: Yes
Lewis: Yes

Motioned by Aldr. Penny, seconded by Aldr. Carrignan. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

10. Move to come out of Executive Session

Motioned by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Penny. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried.**

11. Adjournment

Meeting adjourned at 8:05 p.m.

Motion by Aldr. Carrignan, seconded by Aldr. Martin. No additional discussion. Approved unanimously by voice vote. **Motion carried**.